

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/603,510	06/26/2000	Arthur Dale Burns	STUD-0001	2809
27964 759	09/14/2004	EXAMINER		INER
HITT GAINES P.C.			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			(*************************************	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/603,510	BURNS, ARTHUR DALE			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alain L. Bashore	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 					
		see NOTE below):			
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE:		,			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance b 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
		Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3624			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) is and

Continuation of 5:

Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The examiner is suggesting that banks are conversant in all aspects of the "loan life cycle" contrary to applicant's suggestion that they are not. The respective fields are not "light years apart". The "market manipulation" as described by applicant regarding Levine is a type of financial manipulation for loans. It is not "established" that Tengel and Levine are clearly defined separate fields of recognized endeavors Regarding what is taught by Mottola, the reasoning as set forth in the pervious office action is considered still valid.